

MINUTES
ARCHITECTURAL STANDARDS COMMITTEE
14 December 2004

<u>Present:</u>	Larry Limburg (chair)	<u>Absent</u>	Jayne Thomas
	Ed Bruce		Bill Renihan
	Dave Thomas (Bd Rep)		Bob Brown
	Joe Cavino		Peter Golio
	Jack Krisanda (Ex Dir)		Curt Halsey
	Sean Gorman		

Modifications/Violations

Approvals granted prior to this meeting.

- a) Roof: Haima, Heather-Scott
- b) Trees: Bogardus, Formoza, Fowler, Nieva.
- c) Addario: Chimney repair.
- d) Britanik: Side garage entry door.
- e) Decker: Windows.
- f) Hubert: skirting is now removed.
- g) Keefe: Entry door roof.
- h) Kellner: Paint 6' square for approval.
- i) McAskill: Windows.
- j) Miller: Front doors.
- k) Heather Scott: Rear slider door.
- l) Smith: Pool retaining wall.
- m) Ward: Windows on chimney wall.
- n) Ward: Brick entry walkway.

Approved at this meeting

- a) Guild: Trellis and Shutters

Application denied or violation

- a) Moore: 3239 Far Reach (windows)

Pended to EBB

- a) Colgan: 6278 Mourning Dove (invisible fence)
- b) Meday, 3618 Melvin Dr. So. (new siding, dormer and windows)
- c) Root, 3197 Fields End Dr. (play set - hold for proof of ownership.)
- d) Shibel, 8504 Gilly Flower (fire pit)
- e) Tooke, 6808 JayHawk (hot tub and site plan)

Pended to Melanie and/or Sean

- a) Scholl: 3480 Van Wie Dr. E. (TV dish)
- b) Valentine: 3422 Porter Cottage (Windows)
- c) Willis: 6818 Jayhawk (Windows over entry)

Suspended items

Deb Smith. To be completed by 10/1/03. still incomplete.

Guide review was discussed and the following dates were set.

Work meeting to be 4 January 2005. A rough draft will follow immediately.

This will include the revised application forms too.

The Board will review at their January meeting.

Then the Board on 8 Feb, will hold an “up or down” vote.

Expect to finalize in March 2005.

Control of dogs was discussed concerning whether or not owners must have their dogs controlled by one of the acceptable fences or be on a leash or on a dog run. Though the guide covers a number of ways that the dog may be handled the only real firm statement says that **“The dog shall not be permitted to get closer than ten (10) feet to any property line.”** The discussion was whether or not is adequate to require dogs in unfenced yards to be connected to a restricting dog run.

Submitted 14 December 2004

Ed Bruce